

1.0 CALL TO ORDER (5 MIN)

Any conflict of interest must be declared at the time the meeting is called to order or at such time as one arises in the meeting. Please see Consent Agenda Guidelines on page 2.

1.1 Patient Experience
2.0 REVIEW OF AGENDA (2 MINUTES)
2.1 Approval of Agenda

It is recommended that the Full Agenda for the October 31, 2018 Board meeting be approved as written.
 (This does not include the Consent Agenda)

3.0 PRIORITY ITEMS (sample area) new

ITEM	PRESENTER	OBJECTIVE	TIME
------	-----------	-----------	------

4.0 RECOMMENDATIONS/REPORTS (70 min)

ITEM	PRESENTER	OBJECTIVE	TIME
4.1 Chair's Remarks	R. Robinson	To preside over the meeting whereby Directors discuss, provide input and agree to support decisions in the best interest of the organization.	10 min
4.2 CEO Report	P. Woods	To provide an environmental scan considering all factors that might affect the organization and its ability to achieve the goals and objectives.	15 min
4.3 Quality and Performance Monitoring Committee	A. Hopper	To provide a brief overview of the meeting content from October, with a focus on organizational readiness for the upcoming accreditation survey	10 min
4.4 Medical Advisory Committee	A. Lum	To provide a brief overview of work of the MAC and make recommendations on MAC behalf for the following items: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New Appointments to Professional Staff • Changes to Professional Staff Appointments • Clinical Fellow Appointments • Dr. R. Chan Recommendation/Report • October 2018 PS Reappointment Recommendation/Report • Chief of Paediatrics • Chief of Medicine 	10 min
4.5 Finance and Audit Committee	B. Bird	To provide an overview of the work ongoing and make recommendation on Finance and Audit's behalf on the following items: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cardiac Care Hybrid Operating Rooms 	10 min
4.6 Governance Committee	A. Walby	Report to the Board on the outcomes of the last meeting highlighting the items in the consent agenda for approval and the priority agenda trial.	5 min
4.7 People and Culture Committee	S. Irwin-Foulon	Report to the Board on the outcomes of the last meeting seeking feedback on communicating in crisis situations and highlighting the ethics discussion	10 min

5.0 HEALTHCARE PARTNERS/BOARD REPORTS (25 min)

5.1. St. Joseph's Healthcare, London	H. Rundle/ G. Kernaghan	Report/Update	5 min
5.2 Lawson Health Research Institute	B. Wilkinson	Report/Update	5 min
5.3 London Health Sciences	K. Tranquilli	Report/Update	5 min
5.4 Children's Health Foundation	T. Delaire	Report/Update	5 min
5.5 Board to Board	K. Haines	Report/Update	5 min

6.0 CONSENT AGENDA (5min)

It is recommended that the Consent Agenda for the October 31, 2018 Board meeting, consisting of the recommendations and reports found in Section 6 beginning on page 34 be approved

6.1 Board of Directors Minutes September 26, 2018

6.2 Governance Committee

- 6.2.1 [Role document Amendments Recommendation](#)
- 6.2.2 [Board Priorities Document Recommendation](#)
- 6.2.3 [Governance Committee Terms of Reference/Work plan Recommendation](#)
- 6.2.4 [Medical Advisory Committee Work plan](#)
- 6.2.5 [Post Board Meeting Feedback Report](#)
- 6.2.6 [Accreditation Governance Report on Readiness](#)

6.3 Lawson Health Research Report

6.4 Committee Minutes of Meeting

- 6.4.1 [Finance and Audit Committee 20181011](#)
- 6.4.2 [Medical Advisory Committee 20181010](#)
- 6.4.3 [Quality and Performance Monitoring Committee 20180920](#)
- 6.4.4 [Governance Committee 20181015 -deferred](#)
- 6.4.5 [People and Culture Committee 20180918](#)

7.0 OTHER BUSINESS/FURTHER DISCUSSION FROM CONSENT AGENDA (20 min)

8.0 ADJOURNMENT

CONSENT AGENDA GUIDELINES

The board's parliamentary authority—American Institute of Parliamentarians Standard Code of Parliamentary Procedure—explains the Consent Agenda. Organizations having a large number of routine matters to approve often save time by use of consent agenda. This is a portion of the printed agenda listing matters that are expected to be non-controversial and on which there are likely to be no questions.

Before taking the vote, the presiding officer (chair) allows time for the members to read the list to determine if it includes any matters on which they may have a question, or which they would like to discuss or to oppose. Any member has a right to remove any item from the consent agenda, in which case it is transferred to the regular agenda so that it may be considered and voted on separately. A member may ask a question to clarify a consent agenda item without removing it from the consent agenda, but if this proves to be more than a clarification, the presiding officer can insist that it be removed and placed on the regular agenda. The remaining items are then unanimously approved en bloc without discussion, saving the time that would be required for individual votes.

	Requirement	Questions
V	<u>V</u> oice your concerns	<input type="checkbox"/> Is it an ethical issue? <input type="checkbox"/> What is the ethical question? (be open to having the question evolve as you work through the problem) <input type="checkbox"/> Whose responsibility is it to answer the question? (do you have the authority to answer it?) <input type="checkbox"/> If there are concerns about raising the issue, consider an ethics consult
A	<u>A</u> ccess Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Have you spoken with a colleague or leader? <input type="checkbox"/> Have you reviewed the Ethics Intranet Page ? <input type="checkbox"/> Are you using either the ChELO checklist or the Ethical Process Checklist ? <input type="checkbox"/> Have you considered an ethics consult ?
L	<u>L</u> earn	<input type="checkbox"/> How did you come to know about the issue? <input type="checkbox"/> What are the relevant policies, laws, professional statements? <input type="checkbox"/> What information do you need to make a judgement about the situation? <input type="checkbox"/> Has this issue been dealt with previously?
U	<u>U</u> nderstand	<input type="checkbox"/> Who are the stakeholders? <input type="checkbox"/> How/Where should stakeholders be involved in decision-making? <input type="checkbox"/> Which values are relevant? <input type="checkbox"/> What are the differing viewpoints/perspectives on the issue?
E	<u>E</u> valuate	<input type="checkbox"/> What are the options? <input type="checkbox"/> How would each option reflect our commitment to organizational or other important values? <input type="checkbox"/> Build the best argument for each <input type="checkbox"/> Make a reasoned decision
S	<u>S</u> ustain	<input type="checkbox"/> Consider how to address personal needs of those impacted by the decision <input type="checkbox"/> Set short and long term targets to review your decision <input type="checkbox"/> Is there new information that would change the way approach the situation if it were to happen again? <input type="checkbox"/> What is being done to build organizational memory? (e.g. published case report, new policy, education,)