

# BOARD OF DIRECTORS OPEN MEETING

Wednesday, March 27, 2019 15:00-1800 hours ROOM C3-401 Victoria Hospital

**ATTENDEES:** Ms. B. Bird, Mr. J. Brock, Ms. S. Caplan, Ms. K. Haines, Mr. M. Hodgson, Mr. A. Hopper (Vice Chair), Ms. S. Irwin-Foulon, Ms. S. Jaekel, Mr. L. McBride, Ms. P. Retty, Ms. R. Robinson (Chair), Ms. A. Walby (Vice Chair), Mr. M. Wilson, Mr. T. Delaire, Dr. A. Lum, Dr. S. Pandey, Dr. T. Mele, Dr. P. Woods, Ms. C. Young-Ritchie, Mr. J. Brock, Mr. R. Mikula, Dr. D. Cheng, Mr. J. Wright, Mr. K. Ross

**HEALTHCARE PARTNERS:** H. Rundle, B. Wilkinson, G. Kernaghan (\*t/c)

**GUESTS:** 

**REGRETS NOTED:** 

#### 1.0 CALL TO ORDER (5 MIN)

Any conflict of interest must be declared at the time the meeting is called to order or at such time as one arises in the meeting. Please see Consent Agenda Guidelines on page 2.

1.1 Patient Experience

## 2.0 REVIEW OF AGENDA (2 MINUTES)

#### 2.1 Approval of Agenda

It is recommended that the Full Agenda for the March 27, 2019 Board meeting be approved as written. (A separate approval will occur for the Consent Agenda)

3.0 PRIORITY ITEMS				
ITEM	PRESENTER	OBJECTIVE	TIME	
4.0 RECOMMENDATION	S/REPORTS (	65 min)		
ITEM	PRESENTER	OBJECTIVE	TIME	
4.1 Chair's Report	R. Robinson	To preside over the meeting whereby Directors discuss, provide input and agree to support decisions in the best interest of the organization.	10 min	
4.2 CEO Report	P. Woods	To provide an environmental scan considering all factors that might affect the organization and its ability to achieve the goals and objectives.	10 min	
<ul> <li>4.3 Quality and Performance         Monitoring and Executive         Committee</li> <li>2019/20 Quality Improvement         Plan Recommendation</li> <li>2019/20 Quality Improvement         Plan Narrative and Workplan</li> </ul>	L. McBride A. Walby	To provide an overview of the outcome of the March Meeting. In conjunction with Executive Committee present a recommendation to the Board on the 2019/20 Quality Improvement Plan support of metrics.	10 min	
<ul> <li>4.4 Medical Advisory Committee</li> <li>New Appointments to Professional Staff</li> <li>Changes to Professional Staff Appointments</li> <li>Clinical Fellow Appointments</li> </ul>	A. Lum	To provide an overview of work of the MAC and make recommendations on MAC behalf.	10 min	
<ul><li>4.5 Finance and Audit Committee</li><li>Clinical Documentation</li></ul>	B. Bird	To present the highlights from the March meeting and make recommendations on behalf of the committee.	15 min	
<ul> <li>4.6 Governance Committee</li> <li>RFP Recommendation</li> <li>Chair, People and Culture Recommendation</li> </ul>		Report to the Board on the outcomes of the last meeting highlighting the impending bylaw amendments, director commitments and new committee discussions and that evaluation time is upon us.	10 min	

5.0 HEALTHCARE PARTNERS	S/BOARD RE	PORTS (10 min)	
5.1. St. Joseph's Healthcare, London	H. Rundle	Report/Update	5 min
5.2 Lawson Health Research Institute	B. Wilkinson	Report/Update	5 min
5.3 London Hospital Linen Service	L. McBride	Report/Update	5 min
5.4 Western University	D. Cheng	Report/Update	5 min

#### 6.0 CONSENT AGENDA (5min)

It is recommended that the Consent Agenda for the March 27, 2019 Board meeting, consisting of the recommendations and reports found in Section 6 beginning on page xx be approved

- 6.1 Board of Directors Minutes January 30, 2019
- **6.2 Governance Committee** 
  - 6.2.1 Chief, Dentistry Selection Committee
  - 6.2.2 Chief, Surgery Selection Committee
  - 6.2.3 CEO Succession Policy Amendments
  - 6.2.4 Patient Advisor Finance and Audit Recommendation
- 6.3 Finance and Audit Committee
  - 6.3.1 Hospital Service Accountability Agreement
  - 6.3.2 <u>Multi Service Accountability Agreement</u>
  - 6.3.3 Additional Information Clinical Documentation
  - 6.3.4 Q3 Financial Reports deferred from February
- 6.4 President and CEO Report
- 6.5 Lawson Health Research Reports
- 6.6 Committee Minutes of Meeting
  - 6.6.1 Finance and Audit Committee 20190320
  - 6.6.2 <u>Medical Advisory Committee 20190313</u>
  - 6.6.3 Quality and Performance Monitoring Committee 20190221, 20190321
  - 6.6.4 Governance Committee 20190219, 20190318

## 7.0 OTHER BUSINESS/FURTHER DISCUSSION FROM CONSENT AGENDA (20 min)

### **8.0 ADJOURNMENT**

#### **CONSENT AGENDA GUIDELINES**

The board's parliamentary authority—American Institute of Parliamentarians Standard Code of Parliamentary Procedure—explains the Consent Agenda. Organizations having a large number of routine matters to approve often save time by use of consent agenda. This is a portion of the printed agenda listing matters that are expected to be non-controversial and on which there are likely to be no questions.

Before taking the vote, the presiding officer (chair) allows time for the members to read the list to determine if it includes any matters on which they may have a question, or which they would like to discuss or to oppose. Any member has a right to remove any item from the consent agenda, in which case it is transferred to the regular agenda so that it may be considered and voted on separately. A member may ask a question to clarify a consent agenda item without removing it from the consent agenda, but if this proves to be more than a clarification, the presiding officer can insist that it be removed and placed on the regular agenda. The remaining items are then unanimously approved en bloc without discussion, saving the time that would be required for individual votes.

	Requirement	Questions
V	<u>V</u> oice your concerns	□ Is it an ethical issue? □ What is the ethical question? (be open to having the question evolve as you work through the problem) □ Whose responsibility is it to answer the question? (do you have the authority to answer it?) □ If there are concerns about raising the issue, consider an ethics consult
A	Access Resources	Have you spoken with a colleague or leader? Have you reviewed the Ethics Intranet Page? Are you using either the ChELO checklist or the Ethical Process Checklist? Have you considered an ethics consult?
L	<u>L</u> earn	How did you come to know about the issue? What are the relevant policies, laws, professional statements? What information do you need to make a judgement about the situation? Has this issue been dealt with previously?
U	<u>U</u> nderstand	□ Who are the stakeholders? □ How/Where should stakeholders be involved in decision-making? □ Which values are relevant? □ What are the differing viewpoints/perspectives on the issue?
E	<u>E</u> valuate	□ What are the options? □ How would each option reflect our commitment to <u>organizational</u> or other important values? □ Build the best argument for each □ Make a reasoned decision
S	<u>S</u> ustain	Consider how to address personal needs of those impacted by the decision  Set short and long term targets to review your decision  Is there new information that would change the way approach the situation if it were to happen again?  What is being done to build organizational memory? (e.g. published case report, new policy, education,)