

Thursday, June 27, 2019

16:00-1730 hours

ROOM C3-401 Victoria Hospital

ATTENDEES: Ms. B. Bird, Mr. J. Brock, Ms. S. Caplan, Ms. K. Haines, Mr. M. Hodgson, Ms. S. Jaekel, Mr. L. McBride, Ms. P. Retty, Ms. R. Robinson (Chair), Ms. A. Walby (Vice Chair), Mr. M Wilson, Ms. E. Johnson, Dr. A. Lum, Dr. S. Pandey, Dr. T. Mele, Dr. P. Woods, Ms. C. Young-Ritchie, Mr. R. Mikula, Dr. D. Cheng, Mr. K. Ross

HEALTHCARE PARTNERS: J. Batch=R, B. Wilkinson, G. Kernaghan

GUESTS:

REGRETS NOTED: Dr. D. Cheng, Mr. J. Wright

1.0 CALL TO ORDER (5 MIN)

Any conflict of interest must be declared at the time the meeting is called to order or at such time as one arises in the meeting. Please see Consent Agenda Guidelines on page 2.

1.1 Patient Experience

2.0 REVIEW OF AGENDA (2 MINUTES)

2.1 Approval of Agenda

It is recommended that the Full Agenda for the June 27, 2019 Board meeting be approved as written.
(A separate approval will occur for the Consent Agenda)

3.0 RECOMMENDATIONS/REPORTS (85 min)

ITEM	PRESENTER	OBJECTIVE	TIME
3.1 Chair's Report	R. Robinson	To preside over the meeting whereby Directors discuss, provide input and agree to support decisions in the best interest of the organization.	10 min
3.2 CEO Report	P. Woods	To provide an environmental scan considering all factors that might affect the organization and its ability to achieve the goals and objectives.	10 min
3.3 Executive Committee • 2017/18 Quality Improvement Plan Recommendation	A. Walby	To recommend to the Board the performance component of the Quality Improvement Plan	5 min
3.4 Quality & Performance Monitoring	L. McBride	To provide an overview of the outcome of the June meeting highlighting the base hospital report	5 min
3.5 People and Culture	S. Jaekel	To provide an overview of the June meeting, highlighting work plan completion and the reduction of meetings.	5 min
3.6 Medical Advisory Committee • New Appointments to Professional Staff • Changes to Professional Staff Appointments • Clinical Fellow Appointments • PS Reappointment and Departure Report • PS Reappointment Deferral Report	A. Lum	To provide an overview of work of the MAC and make recommendations on MAC's behalf for the purposes of credentialing and the appointment of Chiefs.	10min

RECOMMENDATIONS/REPORTS

3.7 Finance and Audit Committee <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2018/19 Multi-Sector Service Accountability Agreement – Schedule G (Form of Compliance Agreement) 	B. Bird	To present the highlights from the June meeting and make recommendations on behalf of the committee.	5 min
3.8 Governance Committee	A. Walby	Report to the Board on the outcomes of the last meeting highlighting nominations and guest presentation	5 min

5.0 HEALTHCARE PARTNERS/BOARD REPORTS (45 min)

5.1. St. Joseph's Health Care, London	G. Kernaghan	Report/Update	5 min
5.2 Lawson Health Research Institute	B. Wilkinson	Report/Update	5 min
5.3 London Health Sciences Foundation	R. Mikula	Report/Update	5 min
5.4 Children's Health Foundation	E. Johnson	Report/Update	5 min
5.5 Professional Staff Organization	S. Pandey	Report/Update	5 min

6.0 CONSENT AGENDA (5min)

It is recommended that the Consent Agenda for the June 27, 2019 Board meeting, consisting of the recommendations and reports found in Section 6 beginning on page 157 be approved

6.1 Board of Directors Minutes May 29, 2019

6.2 Finance and Audit Committee

- 6.2.1 [2018/19 GFT Professor, GFT Secretary Salaries & Benefits Annual Funding](#)
- 6.2.2 [2018/19 Ministry of Children & Youth Services \(MCYS\) Annual Reconciliation](#)

6.3 Quality and Performance Monitoring

- 6.3.1 [Base Hospital Terms of Reference](#)
- 6.3.2 [Base Hospital Annual Report](#)

6.4 Committee Minutes of Meeting

- 6.4.1 [Finance and Audit Committee 20190611](#)
- 6.4.2 [People and Culture 20190611](#)
- 6.4.3 [Quality and Performance Monitoring Committee 20190516](#)
- 6.4.4 [Governance Committee 20190617](#)

6.5 Lawson Health Research Institute Report

7.0 OTHER BUSINESS/FURTHER DISCUSSION FROM CONSENT AGENDA (20 min)

8.0 ADJOURNMENT

CONSENT AGENDA GUIDELINES

The board's parliamentary authority—American Institute of Parliamentarians Standard Code of Parliamentary Procedure—explains the Consent Agenda. Organizations having a large number of routine matters to approve often save time by use of consent agenda. This is a portion of the printed agenda listing matters that are expected to be non-controversial and on which there are likely to be no questions.

Before taking the vote, the presiding officer (chair) allows time for the members to read the list to determine if it includes any matters on which they may have a question, or which they would like to discuss or to oppose. Any member has a right to remove any item from the consent agenda, in which case it is transferred to the regular agenda so that it may be considered and voted on separately. A member may ask a question to clarify a consent agenda item without removing it from the consent agenda, but if this proves to be more than a clarification, the presiding officer can insist that it be removed and placed on the regular agenda. The remaining items are then unanimously approved en bloc without discussion, saving the time that would be required for individual votes.

	Requirement	Questions
V	<u>V</u> oice your concerns	<input type="checkbox"/> Is it an ethical issue? <input type="checkbox"/> What is the ethical question? (be open to having the question evolve as you work through the problem) <input type="checkbox"/> Whose responsibility is it to answer the question? (do you have the authority to answer it?) <input type="checkbox"/> If there are concerns about raising the issue, consider an ethics consult
A	<u>A</u> ccess Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Have you spoken with a colleague or leader? <input type="checkbox"/> Have you reviewed the Ethics Intranet Page ? <input type="checkbox"/> Are you using either the ChELO checklist or the Ethical Process Checklist ? <input type="checkbox"/> Have you considered an ethics consult ?
L	<u>L</u> earn	<input type="checkbox"/> How did you come to know about the issue? <input type="checkbox"/> What are the relevant policies, laws, professional statements? <input type="checkbox"/> What information do you need to make a judgement about the situation? <input type="checkbox"/> Has this issue been dealt with previously?
U	<u>U</u> nderstand	<input type="checkbox"/> Who are the stakeholders? <input type="checkbox"/> How/Where should stakeholders be involved in decision-making? <input type="checkbox"/> Which values are relevant? <input type="checkbox"/> What are the differing viewpoints/perspectives on the issue?
E	<u>E</u> valuate	<input type="checkbox"/> What are the options? <input type="checkbox"/> How would each option reflect our commitment to organizational or other important values? <input type="checkbox"/> Build the best argument for each <input type="checkbox"/> Make a reasoned decision
S	<u>S</u> ustain	<input type="checkbox"/> Consider how to address personal needs of those impacted by the decision <input type="checkbox"/> Set short and long term targets to review your decision <input type="checkbox"/> Is there new information that would change the way approach the situation if it were to happen again? <input type="checkbox"/> What is being done to build organizational memory? (e.g. published case report, new policy, education,)